arXiv:1411.3567v2 [math.AC] 22 Nov 2014

THE FACE IDEAL OF A SIMPLICIAL COMPLEX

JÜRGEN HERZOG AND TAKAYUKI HIBI

Abstract. Given a simplicial complex we associate to it a squarefree monomial ideal which we call the face ideal of the simplicial complex, and show that it has linear quotients. It turns out that its Alexander dual is a whisker complex. We apply this construction in particular to chain and antichain ideals of a finite partially ordered set. We also introduce so-called higher dimensional whisker complexes and show that their independence complexes are shellable.

Introduction

Let $S = K[x_1, \ldots, x_n, y_1, \ldots, y_n]$ denote the polynomial ring in $2n$ variables over the field K. In general, given any subset F of $\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$, we define the squarefree monomial u_F of S of degree n by setting

$$u_F = \prod_{x_i \in F} x_i \prod_{x_j \in \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\} \setminus F} y_j.$$

Given a collection $\mathcal{S}$ of subsets of $\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ one defines the ideal $I_{\mathcal{S}}$ generated by the monomials u_F with $F \in \mathcal{S}$. Of particular interest are collections of sets which naturally arise in combinatorics.

The first example of this kind which appeared in the literature is the following: let $P = \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ be a finite partially ordered set. A *poset ideal* of P is a subset α of P with the property that if $x_i \in \alpha$ and $x_j \leq x_i$, then $x_j \in \alpha$. In particular the empty set as well as P itself is a poset ideal of P. The squarefree monomial ideal $I(P) \subset S$ which is generated by those monomials u_α for which α is a poset ideal of P has played an important role in combinatorial and computational commutative algebra ([8], [5]). The ideal $I(P)$ has the remarkable property that it has a linear reolution [9, Theorem 9.1.8].

Now let $I_A(P) \subset S$ be the squarefree monomial ideal which is generated by those monomials u_β for which β is an antichain of P. (Recall that an *antichain* of P is a subset $\beta \subset P$ for which any two elements x_i and x_j with $i \neq j$ belonging to β are incomparable in P.) The toric ring generated by u_α with $u_\alpha \in I(P)$ and the toric ring generated by u_β with $u_\beta \in I_A(P)$ have similar properties. In particular, both are algebras with straighening laws on suitable distributive lattices, see [10] and [12]. Thus one may expect that $I(P)$ and $I_A(P)$ have similar properties as well.

Similarly we may also consider the squarefree monomial ideal $I_C(P) \subset S$ which is generated by those monomials u_γ for which γ is a chain of P. (Recall that a *chain* of P is a totally ordered subset of P.) As expected, each of the antichain ideal $I_A(P)$ and the chain ideal $I_C(P)$ has linear quotients will be shown in Theorem 3.1.

However, far beyond the study of antichain ideals and chain ideals of partially ordered sets, the study of the present paper will be done in a much more general situation. Since the set of antichains of P as well as the set of chains of P is clearly a simplicial complex on the vertex set $\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$, it is natural to study more generally ideals $I_{\mathcal{S}}$ where $\mathcal{S}$ is the set of faces of any simplicial complex. Thus we introduce the face ideal J_Δ of a simplicial Δ on $\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$. In other words, the face ideal J_Δ is the ideal of S which is generated by the monomials u_F with $F \in \Delta$. Theorem 1.1 gives the structure of the Alexander dual $(J_\Delta)^\vee$ of J_Δ. Somewhat surprisingly, it turns out that $(J_\Delta)^\vee$ is a whisker complex, which is a generalization of whisker graphs, first introduced by Villarreal [15] and further studied and generalized in [1], [7], [11] and [14]. A simple polarization argument shows that $(J_\Delta)^\vee$ is Cohen–Macaulay. Hence, again. J_Δ has a linear resolution. We also describe the explicit minimal free resolution of J_Δ (Theorem 1.3) and compute the Betti numbers of J_Δ (Corollary 1.4). We would like to mention that whisker complexes are special classes of grafted complexes as introduced by Faridi [6].

In Section 2, we show that the face ideal J_Δ of a simplicial complex Δ has linear quotients. This fact implies that the independence complex of the whisker complex of an arbitrary simplicial complex is shellable (Corollary 2.2). Recall that if Γ is a simplicial complex and $I(\Gamma)$ its facet ideal, then the simplicial complex Δ with $I_\Delta = I(\Gamma)$ is called the *independence complex* of Γ. Here I_Δ denotes the Stanley–Reisner ideal of Δ. The faces of Δ are those subsets of the vertex set of Γ which do not contain any facet of Γ.

Dochtermann and Engström [3] even showed that the independence complex of whisker graphs are pure and vertex decomposable, which in particular implies that the independence complex of any whisker graph is shellable, see also [11].

Finally, in Section 4, we introduce the concept of higher dimensional whisker complexes, which is a generalization of whisker complexes introduced in Section 1. Theorem 4.1 guarantees that hte independence complex of the higher dimensional whisker complex of an arbitrary simplicial complex is shellable. Thus our Theorem 4.1 generalizes the result of Dochtermann and Engström regarding shellability.

A. Engström kindly informed us that his student Lauri Loiskekoski in his Master Thesis [13] has also introduced what we call the face ideal of a simplicial complex, and, among other results, has shown that face ideals have linear resolutions, cf. our Corollary 1.2.

1. Face ideals and whisker complexes

Let $S = K[x_1, \ldots, x_n, y_1, \ldots, y_n]$ denote the polynomial ring in $2n$ variables over the field K and Δ a simplicial complex on the vertex set $\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$.

The *whisker complex* of Δ is the simplicial complex $W(\Delta)$ on the vertex set $\{x_1, \ldots, x_n, y_1, \ldots, y_n\}$ which is obtained from Δ by adding the facets $\{x_i, y_i\}$, called whiskers, for $i = 1, \ldots, n$.

For each face $F \in \Delta$ we associate the monomial $u_F \in S$ defined by

$$u_F = x_F y_{F^c},$$

where

$$x_F = \prod_{x_i \in F} x_i \quad \text{and} \quad y_{F^c} = \prod_{x_j \in \{x_1,\ldots,x_n\}\setminus F} y_j.$$

The ideal of S generate by those squarefree monomials u_F with $F \in \Delta$ is called the *face ideal* of Δ and is denoted by J_Δ. As usual we write I_Δ ($\subset K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$) for the Stanley–Reisner ideal ([9, p. 16]) of Δ and $I(\Delta)$ ($\subset K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$) for the facet ideal of Δ.

Let, in general, $I \subset S$ be a squarefree monomial ideal of S with $I = \bigcap_{k=1}^m P_k$ where the ideals P_k are the minimal prime ideals of I. Each P_k is generated by variables. The *Alexander dual* $I^\vee$ of I is defined to be the ideal of S generated by the squarefree monomials $u_1, \ldots, u_m$, where $u_k = (\prod_{x_i \in P_k} x_i)(\prod_{y_j \in P_k} y_j)$. In particular, $(I_\Delta)^\vee = I_{\Delta^\vee}$ where $\Delta^\vee$ is the Alexander dual of Δ.

Theorem 1.1. *Let Δ be a simplicial complex on $\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ and $J_\Delta \subset S$ the face ideal of Δ. Then one has*

$$(J_\Delta)^\vee = I(W(\Gamma)),$$

where Γ is the simplicial complex on $\{y_1, \ldots, y_n\}$ with

$$I_{\Delta'} = I(\Gamma),$$

where Δ' is the copy of Δ on $\{y_1, \ldots, y_n\}$, i.e., $\Delta' = \{\, \{\, y_i : x_i \in F \,\} : F \in \Delta \,\}$.

Proof. Let $\Delta^\sharp$ denote the simplicial complex on $\{x_1, \ldots, x_n, y_1, \ldots, y_n\}$ with $J_\Delta = I(\Delta^\sharp)$. It then follows that a squarefree monomial $x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_s} y_{j_1} \cdots y_{j_t}$ belongs to the minimal system of monomial generators of $J_\Delta^\vee$ if and only if $\{x_{i_1}, \ldots, x_{i_s}, y_{j_1}, \ldots, y_{j_t}\}$ is a minimal vertex cover ([9, p. 156]) of $\Delta^\sharp$.

Since each facet of $\Delta^\sharp$ contains either x_i or y_i for $1 \le i \le n$, it follows that $\{x_i, y_i\}$ is a minimal vertex cover of $\Delta^\sharp$ for $1 \le i \le n$.

We claim $F = \{y_j : j \in B\}$, where $B \subset [n] = \{1, \ldots, n\}$, is a vertex cover of $\Delta^\sharp$ if and only if $F \notin \Delta'$. In fact, if $F \in \Delta'$, then $\{x_j : j \in B\} \cup \{y_i : i \in [n] \setminus B\}$ is a facet of $\Delta^\sharp$. Hence F cannot be a vertex cover of $\Delta^\sharp$. Conversely suppose that $F \notin \Delta'$. Let $\{x_j : j \in C\} \cup \{y_i : i \in [n] \setminus C\}$ be a facet of $\Delta^\sharp$. Then $\{x_j : j \in C\}$ is a face of Δ. Since $F \notin \Delta'$, it follows that $B \not\subset C$. Thus $B \cap ([n] \setminus C) \neq \emptyset$. Hence F is a vertex cover of $\Delta^\sharp$.

Finally, suppose that $G = \{x_i : i \in A\} \cup \{y_j : j \in B\}$ is a minimal vertex cover of Δ', where $A \subset [n], B \subset [n]$ with $A \cap B = \emptyset$. We claim $A = \emptyset$. In fact, if $A \neq \emptyset$, then $F = \{y_j : j \in B\}$ cannot be a vertex cover of $\Delta^\sharp$. Hence F must be a face of Δ'. Then $\{x_i : i \in B\} \cup \{y_j : j \in [n] \setminus B\}$ is a facet of $\Delta^\sharp$. Since $A \cap B = \emptyset$, it follows that G cannot be a vertex cover of Δ'.

In consequence, the minimal vertex covers of $\Delta^\sharp$ are either $\{x_i, y_i\}$ for $1 \le i \le n$ or the minimal nonfaces of Δ'. Since $I_{\Delta'} = I(\Gamma)$, the the minimal nonfaces of Δ' coincides with the facets of Γ. It then follows that $J_\Delta^\vee = I(W(\Gamma))$, as desired. □

Corollary 1.2. *Let Δ be a simplicial complex. Then J_Δ has a linear resolution.*

Proof. By applying the Eagon-Reiner Theorem [4] (see also [9, Theorem 8.1.9]) it suffices to show that $I(W(\Gamma))$ is a Cohen–Macaulay ideal. This is a well-known fact: Notice that $I(W(\Gamma))$ is the polarization of $L = (I(\Gamma), y_1^2, \ldots, y_n^2)$. Since

$\dim K[y_1,\dots,y_n]/L = 0$. it follows that L is a Cohen-Macaulay ideal. Hence by [9, Corollary 1.6.3], $I(W(\Gamma))$ is a Cohen–Macaulay ideal as well. □

The next result describes the precise structure of the resolution of S/J_Δ. For a simplicial complex Δ on $[n] = \{1,\dots,n\}$ we let $\overline{\Delta} = \{[n] \setminus F\colon\ F \in \Delta\}$.

Theorem 1.3. *Let Δ be a simplicial complex of dimension $d-1$ on the vertex set $[n]$. For each integer $j \geq 1$, let F_j be the free S-module with basis elements $e_{G,H}$ indexed by $G \in \Delta$ and $H \in \overline{\Delta}$ satisfying the condition that $|G \cap H| = j-1$ and $G \cup H = [n]$. Furthermore, we set $F_0 = S$. For each $j = 2,\dots,d$ we define the S-linear map*

$$\partial_j\colon\ F_j \to F_{j-1}, \quad \text{with} \quad \partial_j(e_{G,H}) = \sum_{i\in G\cap H} (-1)^{\sigma(G\cap H,i)}(x_i e_{G\setminus\{i\},H} - y_i e_{G,H\setminus\{i\}}),$$

where $\sigma(G\cap H,i) = |\{j \in G\cap H\colon\ j<i\}|$. Then

$$\mathbb{F}_\Delta\colon 0 \longrightarrow F_d \xrightarrow{\partial_d} F_{d-1} \xrightarrow{\partial_{d-1}} \cdots \xrightarrow{\partial_2} F_1 \xrightarrow{\partial_1} F_0 \longrightarrow 0,$$

is the graded free resolution of S/J_Δ, where $\partial_1(e_{G,H}) = x_G y_H$ for all $e_{G,H} \in F_1$.

Proof. We first show that $\mathbb{F}$ is a complex. One immediately verifies that $\partial_1 \circ \partial_2 = 0$. Now let $j > 2$ and $e_{G,H} \in F_j$. Set $L = G \cap H$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_j(\partial_{j-1}(e_{G,H})) &= \partial_{j-1}(\sum_{i\in L}(-1)^{\sigma(L,i)}(x_i e_{G\setminus\{i\},H} - y_i e_{G,H\setminus\{i\}}))\\
&= \sum_{i\in L}(-1)^{\sigma(L,i)}[x_i(\sum_{k\in L\setminus\{i\}}(-1)^{\sigma(L\setminus\{i\},k)}(x_k e_{G\setminus\{i,k\},H} - y_k e_{G\setminus\{i\},H\setminus\{k\}}))\\
&- y_i(\sum_{k\in L\setminus\{i\}}(-1)^{\sigma(L\setminus\{i\},k)}(x_k e_{G\setminus\{k\},H\setminus\{i\}} - y_k e_{G,H\setminus\{i,k\}}))]\\
&= \sum_{i,k\in L, i<k}\sigma_{ik}(x_i x_k e_{G\setminus\{i,k\},H} - x_i y_k e_{G\setminus\{i\},H\setminus\{k\}} + y_i y_k e_{G,H\setminus\{i,k\}}).
\end{aligned}$$

where $\sigma_{ik} = (-1)^{\sigma(L,i)+\sigma(L\setminus\{i\},k)} + (-1)^{\sigma(L,k)+\sigma(L\setminus\{k\},i)}$. Since $\sigma_{ik} = 0$ for all $i<k$ it follows that $\partial_j(\partial_{j-1}(e_{G,H})) = 0$, as desired.

We will prove the acyclicity of $\mathbb{F}$ be induction on $|\Delta|$. The assertion is trivial if $\Delta = \{\emptyset,\{1\}\}$. Now let $n>1$, and let F be a facet of Δ. We set $\Gamma = \Delta\setminus\{F\}$. We may assume that Γ is a simplicial complex on the vertex set $[n']$ where $n' \leq n$. By induction hypothesis, $\mathbb{F}_\Gamma$ is a graded minimal free S'-resolution of S'/J_Γ, where $S' = K[x_1,\dots,x_{n'},y_1,\dots,y_{n'}]$. Thus $\mathbb{G} = \mathbb{F}_\Gamma \otimes_{S'} S$ is a graded minimal free S-resolution of $S/J_\Gamma S$, and we obtain an exact sequence of complexes

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{G} \xrightarrow{\varphi} \mathbb{F}_\Delta \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} \mathbb{K} \to 0. \tag{1}$$

For all $H \subset [n']$ we set $H' = H \cup \{n'+1,\dots,n\}$. Then $\varphi\colon \mathbb{G} \to \mathbb{F}_\Delta$ is defined by $\varphi(e_{G,H}) = e_{G,H'}$ for all $e_{G,H} \in \mathbb{G}$. Furthermore, $\mathbb{K} = F_\Delta/\varphi(\mathbb{G})$.

One verifies that K_j is a free module admitting the basis $\bar{e}_{F,H} = \varepsilon(e_{F,H})$ with $H \subset [n]$ such that $|F\cap H| = j-1$ and $F\cup H = [n]$. Denote by δ the differential of $\mathbb{K}$. Then

$$\delta_j(\bar{e}_{F,H}) = -\sum_{i\in F\cap H}(-1)^{\sigma(F\cap H,i)} y_i \bar{e}_{F,H\setminus\{i\}}.$$

Thus we see that $\mathbb{K}$ is isomorphic to the Koszul complex attached to the sequence $(y_i)_{i\in F}$, homologically shifted by 1. In particular, it follows that $H_j(\mathbb{K}) = 0$ for $j \neq 1$, while $H_1(\mathbb{K}) = S/(y_i : i \in F)$.

Thus from the long exact sequence attached to (1) we obtain that $H_j(\mathbb{F}_\Delta) = 0$ for $j > 1$ by using that $\mathbb{G}$ is acyclic by our induction hypothesis. Furthermore, we obtain the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow H_1(\mathbb{F}_C) \longrightarrow H_1(\mathbb{K}) \longrightarrow H_0(\mathbb{G}) \longrightarrow H_0(\mathbb{F}_C) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Since $H_0(\mathbb{G}) = S/J_\Gamma S$ and $H_0(\mathbb{F}_\Delta) = S/J_\Delta$ we see that $\operatorname{Ker}(H_0(\mathbb{G}) \longrightarrow H_0(\mathbb{F}_C)) = J_\Delta/J_\Gamma S$. Now $J_\Delta/J_\Gamma S \cong S/(J_\Gamma S : x_F y_{[n]\setminus F}) = S/(y_i : i \in F) = H_1(\mathbb{K})$. This proves that $H_1(\mathbb{F}_C) = 0$ and completes the proof of the theorem. □

Corollary 1.4. *Let Δ be a simplicial complex of dimension $d-1$ with f-vector $(f_{-1}, f_0, \ldots, f_{d-1})$. Then*

$$\beta_j(J_\Delta) = \sum_{i=-1}^{d-1} \binom{i+1}{j} f_i.$$

In particular, $\operatorname{proj\,dim} J_\Delta = \dim \Delta + 1$.

2. Whisker complexes and shellability

In this section we show that the face ideal of a simplicial complex does not only have a linear resolution but even linear quotients.

Theorem 2.1. *Let Δ be a simplicial complex. Then J_Δ has linear quotients.*

Proof. We choose any total order of the generators of J_Δ with the property that $u_G > u_F$ if $G \subset F$, and claim that J_Δ has linear quotients with respect to this order of the generators.

Indeed, let $F \in \mathcal{F}(\Delta)$, and let $J(F)$ be the ideal generated by all G with $u_G > u_F$. For any $G \in \mathcal{F}(\Delta)$ one has

$$(u_G) : u_F = \frac{u_G}{\gcd(u_G, u_F)} = x_{G\setminus F} y_{F\setminus G}.$$

Now let $u_G \in J(F)$. Then $F \setminus G \neq \emptyset$. Let $x_j \in F \setminus G$, and let $H = F \setminus \{x_j\}$. Then $u_H > u_F$ and $(u_H) : u_F = (y_j)$. Since y_j divides $y_{F\setminus G}$ it follows that y_j divides $(u_G) : u_F$, as desired. □

Corollary 2.2. *Let Γ be a simplicial complex. Then the independence complex of $W(\Gamma)$ is shellable.*

Theorem 2.1 can be generalized as follows.

Theorem 2.3. *Let $\mathcal{S}$ be a non-empty collection of subsets of $\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ satisfying the following conditions:*

(i) *if $F, G \in \mathcal{S}$, then $F \cap G \in \mathcal{S}$;*
(ii) *for $F, G \in \mathcal{S}$ with $G \subset F$ there exists $x_i \in F \setminus G$ such that $F \setminus \{x_i\} \in \mathcal{S}$.*

Then $I_\mathcal{S}$ has linear quotients.

Proof. We choose any total order of the generators of J_Δ with the property that $u_G > u_F$ if $G \subset F$, and claim that $J_{\mathcal{S}}$ has linear quotients with respect to this order of the generators. Let $u_G > u_F$, and let $\mathcal{N} = \{i\colon\ F \setminus \{x_i\} \in \mathcal{S}\}$. Then, by (ii), $\mathcal{N} \neq \emptyset$. We claim that

$$(u_G\colon\ u_G > u_F) = (y_i\colon\ i \in \mathcal{N}).$$

To see why this is true, let $u_G > u_F$. Then, by (i), $H = G \cap F$ belongs to $\mathcal{S}$, and by (ii) there exists $i \in \mathcal{N}$ such that $x_i \in F \setminus H$. Then $x_i \notin G$, and hence y_i divides $(u_G) : u_F$. Since $(u_{F\setminus\{x_i\}}) : u_F = (y_i)$, we are done. □

3. Chain ideals and antichain ideals

In this section we consider special classes of face ideals arising from finite partially ordered sets (posets, for short). Let $P = \{p_1, \ldots, p_n\}$ be a finite poset, and $S = K[x_1, \ldots, x_n, y_1, \ldots, y_n]$ the polynomial ring in $2n$ variables over the field K. For each chain α of P we define the monomial $u_\alpha \in S$ by setting

$$u_\alpha = (\prod_{p_i \in \alpha} x_i)(\prod_{p_j \notin \alpha} y_j),$$

and let $I_C(P)$ be the ideal generated by the monomials u_α where α is a chain of P. We call $I_C(P)$ the *chain ideal* of P.

Similarly, for each antichain β of P we define the monomial $u_\beta \in S$ by setting

$$u_\beta = (\prod_{p_i \in \beta} x_i)(\prod_{p_j \notin \beta} y_j),$$

and let $I_A(P)$ be the ideal generated by the monomials u_β where β is an antichain of P. We call $I_A(P)$ the *antichain ideal* of P.

Recall that the *comparability graph* of P is a finite simple graph $\mathcal{C}(P)$ on $[n]$ whose edges are those subsets $\{i, j\}$ such that p_i and p_j are comparable in P, i.e., $\{p_i, p_j\}$ is a chain of P. Similarly, the *incomparability graph* of P is a finite simple graph $\mathcal{A}(P)$ on $[n]$ whose edges are those subsets $\{i, j\}$ such that p_i and p_j are incomparable in P, i.e., $\{p_i, p_j\}$ is an antichain of P.

Theorem 3.1. *Let P be a finite poset.*

(a) *The Alexander dual of the chain ideal $I_C(P)$ is the edge ideal of the whisker graph of the incomparability graph of P.*

(b) *The Alexander dual of the antichain ideal $I_A(P)$ is the edge ideal of the whisker graph of the comparability graph of P.*

Proof. (a) Let Δ be a simplicial complex on $\{y_1, \ldots, y_n\}$ whose faces are those $F \subset \{y_1, \ldots, y_n\}$ with $\{p_i\ :\ y_i \in F\}$ is a chain of P. Theorem 1.1 says that the Alexander dual $I_C(P)^\vee$ of $I_C(P)$ coincides with $I(W(\Gamma))$, where Γ is a simplicial complex on $\{y_1, \ldots, y_n\}$ with $I_\Delta = I(\Gamma)$. A subset $F \subset \{y_1, \ldots, y_n\}$ is nonface of Δ if and only if an antichain of P is contained in F. Thus the minimal nonfaces of Δ, which coincides with the facets of Γ are those subset $\{y_i, y_j\}$ such that $\{p_i, p_j\}$ is an antichain of P. Thus $I(\Gamma)$ is the edge ideal of the incomparagraph of P. Hence $I(W(\Gamma))$ is the edge ideal of the whisker graph of the incomparability graph of P, as desired.

(b) Let Δ be a simplicial complex on $\{y_1, \ldots, y_n\}$ whose faces are those $F \subset \{y_1, \ldots, y_n\}$ with $\{p_i : y_i \in F\}$ is an antichain of P. Theorem 1.1 says that the Alexander dual $I_A(P)^\vee$ of $I_A(P)$ coincides with $I(W(\Gamma))$, where Γ is a simplicial complex on $\{y_1, \ldots, y_n\}$ with $I_\Delta = I(\Gamma)$. A subset $F \subset \{y_1, \ldots, y_n\}$ is nonface of Δ if and only if a chain of P is contained in F. Thus the minimal nonfaces of Δ, which coincides with the facets of Γ are those subset $\{y_i, y_j\}$ such that $\{p_i, p_j\}$ is a chain of P. Thus $I(\Gamma)$ is the edge ideal of the comparagraph of P. Hence $I(W(\Gamma))$ is the edge ideal of the whisker graph of the comparability graph of P, as desired. $\square$

The *Dilworth number* of a finite poset P is the least number of chains into which P can be partitioned. Dilworth's theorem [2] guarantees that the Dilworth number of P is equal to the maximal cardinality of the antichains of P.

Corollary 3.2. *The chain ideal and the antichain ideal of a finite poset have a linear resolution. Moreover,* $\operatorname{proj\,dim} I_C(P) = \operatorname{rank} P + 1$ *while* $\operatorname{proj\,dim} I_A(P)$ *is the Dilworth number of* P.

4. Higher dimensional whiskers

The purpose of this section is to generalize Corollary 2.2. Let Δ be a simplicial complex on $\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$. Given positive integers $k_1, \ldots, k_n$ and $d_1, \ldots, d_n$ with $d_i \leq k_i$ for all i, we define the *higher dimensional whisker complex* $W^{d_1,\ldots,d_n}_{k_1,\ldots,k_n}(\Delta)$ of Δ to be the simplicial complex on the vertex set

$$x_1, x_1^{(1)}, \cdots, x_1^{(k_1)}, x_2, x_2^{(1)}, \cdots, x_2^{(k_2)}, \cdots, x_n, x_n^{(1)}, \cdots, x_n^{(k_n)},$$

whose facets are the facets of Δ together with all subsets of cardinality $d_i + 1$ of $\{x_i, x_i^{(1)}, \ldots, x_i^{(k_i)}\}$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n$. These subsets are called the whiskers of Δ.

Note that the wisker complex of Δ as defined in Section 1 is just the complex $W^{1,\ldots,1}_{1,\ldots,1}(\Delta)$. See Figure 1 for an example of a higher whisker complex.

Theorem 4.1. *The independence complex of a higher whisker complex is shellable.*

Proof. Let $W^{d_1,\ldots,d_n}_{k_1,\ldots,k_n}(\Gamma)$ be the whisker complex, Δ its independence complex and $I = I_{\Delta^\vee}$. We will show that I has linear quotients. This is equivalent to say that Δ is shellable. Note that the generators of I correspond bijectively to the vertex covers of $W^{d_1,\ldots,d_n}_{k_1,\ldots,k_n}(\Gamma)$. Indeed, if

$$C \subset \{x_1, x_1^{(1)}, \ldots, x_1^{(k_1)}, x_2, x_2^{(1)}, \ldots, x_2^{(k_2)}, \ldots, x_n, x_n^{(1)}, \ldots, x_n^{(k_n)}\}$$

is a minimal vertex cover of $W^{d_1,\ldots,d_n}_{k_1,\ldots,k_n}(\Gamma)$, then the product of the elements in C is the corresponding generator of I.

We claim, that C is a minimal vertex cover of $W^{d_1,\ldots,d_n}_{k_1,\ldots,k_n}(\Gamma)$ if and only if the following conditions are satisfied:

(1) $C \cap \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ is a vertex cover of Γ;
(2) $C \cap \{x_i, x_1^{(i)}, \ldots, x_{k_i}^{(i)}\}$ is a minimal vertex cover of the d_i-skeleton of the simplex on $\{x_i, x_{i1}, \ldots, x_{ik_i}\}$.



FIGURE 1.

Clearly any set C of vertices satisfying (1) and (2) is a minimal vertex cover.

We denote by $u_C \in I$ the monomial corresponding to the vertex cover C of $W^{d_1,\ldots,d_n}_{k_1,\ldots,k_n}(\Gamma)$. Then

$$u_C = x_{C_0}x_{C_1}\cdots x_{C_n},$$

where

$$x_{C_0} = \prod_{x_j \in C} x_i \quad \text{and} \quad x_{C_j} = \prod_{\{i:\ x_j^{(i)} \in C\}} x_j^{(i)}$$

for $j = 1, \ldots, n$.

We now define a total order of the monomial generators of I as follows: let $u_C = x_{C_0}x_{C_1}\cdots x_{C_n}$ and $u_D = x_{D_0}x_{D_1}\cdots x_{D_n}$. Then $u_C > u_D$ if either $x_{C_0} > x_{D_0}$ with respect to the degree lexicographic order, or $x_{C_0} = x_{D_0}$ and $x_{C_1}\cdots x_{C_n} > x_{D_1}\cdots x_{D_n}$ with respect to the lexicographic order induced by

$$x_1^{(1)} > \ldots > x_1^{(k_1)} > x_2^{(1)} > \ldots > x_2^{(k_2)} > \ldots > x_n^{(1)} > \ldots > x_n^{(k_n)}.$$

We claim that with this ordering of the generators, I has linear quotients. We first note that all generators of I have the same degree, namely, $\sum_{i=1}^n (k_i - d_i) + n$. We must show that for all u_C the colon ideal $(u_D\colon u_D > u_C) : u_C$ is generated by variables. Let $u_D > u_C$ with $x_{D_0} \neq x_{C_0}$. Then $x_{D_0} > x_{C_0}$, and hence there exists x_j such that x_j divides x_{D_0} but does not divide x_{C_0}. Let u_E be the generator with $x_{E_0} = x_{C_0}x_j$, $x_{E_i} = x_{C_i}$ for $i \neq j$ and $x_{E_j} = x_{C_j}/x_j^{(l)}$ where $x_j^{(l)}$ divides x_{C_j}. Then $u_E > u_C$ and $(u_E) : u_C = (x_j)$. Since x_j divides $(u_D) : u_C$, and we are done in this case.

We now consider the case $x_{D_0} = x_{C_0}$. Let $\mathcal{A} = \{u_E\colon\ x_{E_0} = x_{C_0}\}$. For each $i \geq 1$, let $\mathcal{A}_i = \{x_{E_i}\colon\ u_E \in \mathcal{A}\}$. If x_i divides x_{C_0}, then $\mathcal{A}_i$ is the set of all monomials of degree $k_i - d_i$ in the variables $x_1^{(i)}, \ldots, x_{k_i}^{(i)}$, and if x_i does not divide x_{C_0}, then $\mathcal{A}_i$ is the set of all monomials of degree $k_i - d_i + 1$ in the same set of variables. Note that $\mathcal{A}_i$ generates a matroidal ideal. Moreover the product of matroidal ideals in pairwise disjoint sets of variables is again a matroidal ideal. It is known, see [9, Theorem 12.6.2], that matroidal ideals have linear quotients with respect to the lexicographic order of the generators. This completes the proof of the theorem. □

References

[1] D. Cook II and U. Nagel, Cohen–Macaulay graphs and face vectors of flag complexes, *SIAM J. Discrete Math.* **26** (2012), 89–101.

[2] R. P. Dilworth, A decomposition theorem for partially ordered sets, *Annals of Mathematics* (1950), 161–166.

[3] A. Dochtermann and A. Engström, Algebraic properties of edge ideals via combinatorial topology, *Electron. J. Combin.* **16** (2009), Special volume in honor of Anders Björner, Research Paper 2, 24 pp.

[4] J. A. Eagon and V. Reiner, Resolutions of Stanley-Reisner rings and Alexander duality, *J. Pure and Appl. Algebra* **130** (1998), 265–275.

[5] V. Ene, J. Herzog and F. Mohammadi, Monomial ideals and toric rings of Hibi type arising from a finite poset, *European J. Combin.* **32** (2011), 404–421.

[6] S. Faridi, Cohen-Macaulay Properties of Square-Free Monomial Ideals, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **109** (2005), 299–329.

[7] C. A. Francisco and H. T. Hà, Whiskers and sequentially Cohen–Macaulay graphs, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **115** (2008), 304–316.

[8] J. Herzog and T. Hibi, Distributive lattices, bipartite graphs and Alexander duality, *J. Algebraic Combin.* **22** (2005), 289–302.

[9] J. Herzog and T. Hibi, "Monomial ideals," Graduate Texts in Mathematics **260**, Springer, London, 2010.

[10] T. Hibi, Distributive lattices, affine semigroup rings and algebras with straightening laws, *in* "Commutative Algebra and Combinatorics" (M. Nagata and H. Matsumura, Eds.), Advanced Studies in Pure Math., Volume 11, North–Holland, Amsterdam, 1987, pp. 93 – 109.

[11] T. Hibi, A. Higashitani, K. Kimura and A. B. O'Keefe, Algebraic study on Cameron–Walker graphs, *J. Algebra* **422** (2015), 257–269.

[12] T. Hibi and N. Li, Chain polytopes and algebras with straightening laws, arXiv:1207.2538.

[13] L. Loiskekoski, Resolutions and associated primes of powers of ideals, Master Thesis, Aalto University, School of Science, 2013.

[14] A. Van Tuyl and R. H. Villarreal, Shellable graphs and sequentially Cohen–Macaulay bipartite graphs, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **115** (2008), 799–814.

[15] R. H. Villarreal, Cohen-Macaulay graphs, *Manuscripta Math.* **66** (1990), 277–293.

Jürgen Herzog, Fachbereich Mathematik, Universität Duisburg-Essen, Campus Essen, 45117 Essen, Germany

E-mail address: juergen.herzog@uni-essen.de

Takayuki Hibi, Department of Pure and Applied Mathematics, Graduate School of Information Science and Technology, Osaka University, Toyonaka, Osaka 560-0043, Japan

E-mail address: hibi@math.sci.osaka-u.ac.jp